

คณะกรรมการจริยธรรมการวิจัยในมนุษย์ โรงพยาบาลบ้านแพ้ว (องค์การมหาชน) Banphaeo General Hospital Research Ethic Committee

BGH REC 19/v02.0

การตรวจเยี่ยมคณะกรรมการจริยธรรมการวิจัยในมนุษย์
Survey and Audit of the REC

เริ่มใช้ 1 ตุลาคม 2566 หน้า 1 ของ 45 หน้า

การตรวจเยี่ยมคณะกรรมการจริยธรรมการวิจัยในมนุษย์ Survey and Audit of the REC

วันที่เริ่มใช้

1 ตุลาคม 2566

แทนที่ฉบับ

1

ลงวันที่

1 มีนาคม 2563

ผู้จัดทำ

N-1-

วันที่

7 สิงหาคม 2566

(พญ.ศศิวิมล ไตรญาณ)

อนุกรรมการปรับปรุงและพัฒนาวิธีดำเนินการมาตรฐาน

ผู้ตรวจสอบ

วันที่

10 สิงหาคม 2566

(ดร.ทพ.สิเรศ รพีพัฒนา)

ประธานคณะกรรมการจริยธรรมการวิจัยในมนุษย์

ผู้อนุมัติ

6m

วันที่

1 ตุลาคม 2566

(นพ.พรเทพ พงศ์ทวิกร)

ผู้อำนวยการโรงพยาบาลบ้านแพ้ว



Banphaeo General Hospital Research Ethic Committee

การตรวจเยี่ยมคณะกรรมการจริยธรรมการวิจัยในมนุษย์

Survey and Audit of the REC

BGH REC 19/v02.0

เริ่มใช้ 1 ตุลาคม 2566

หน้า 2 ของ 45 หน้า

สารบัญ

ลำดับ	เรื่อง	หน้า
1	วัตถุประสงค์	3
2	ขอบเขต	3
3	ความรับผิดชอบ	3
4	ขั้นตอนการปฏิบัติและผู้รับผิดชอบ	3
5	รายละเอียดการปฏิบัติ	3
	5.1 ขอรับการตรวจเยี่ยม	4
	5.2 รับแจ้งเรื่องการตรวจเยี่ยม	4
	5.3 เตรียมรับการตรวจเยี่ยม	4
	5.4 รับการตรวจเยี่ยม	5
	5.5 รับทราบรายงานผลการตรวจเยี่ยมและปรับปรุงแก้ไขข้อบกพร่อง	5
	5.6 การเก็บรักษารายงานสรุปผลการตรวจเยี่ยม	5
6	นิยามศัพท์	5
7	ประวัติการแก้ไข	5
8	เอกสารอ้างอิง	5
9	ภาคผนวก	6
	ภาคผนวก 1 AF 19-01/v01.0 NECAST Self-Assessment Tool	7
	ภาคผนวก 2 AF 19-02/v01.0 SIDCER-NECAST Self-Assessment Tool	28



Banphaeo General Hospital Research Ethic Committee

การตรวจเยี่ยมคณะกรรมการจริยธรรมการวิจัยในมนุษย์

Survey and Audit of the REC

BGH REC 19/v02.0

เริ่มใช้ 1 ตุลาคม 2566

หน้า 3 ของ 45 หน้า

1. วัตถุประสงค์

- 1.1 เพื่อเป็นแนวทางในการพัฒนาคุณภาพของคณะกรรมการจริยธรรมฯ
- 1.2 เพื่อเป็นแนวทางในการเตรียมรับการตรวจเยี่ยมเพื่อพัฒนาคุณภาพหรือรับรองมาตรฐานการทำงาน ของคณะกรรมการจริยธรรมการวิจัยในมนุษย์ โรงพยาบาลบ้านแพ้ว

2. ขอบเขต

วิธีดำเนินการมาตรฐานๆครอบคลุมการเตรียมตัวของคณะกรรมการจริยธรรมๆ และเจ้าหน้าที่สำนักงาน จริยธรรมๆ เพื่อรับการตรวจเยี่ยมเพื่อพัฒนาคุณภาพหรือรับรองมาตรฐานการดำเนินงานของคณะกรรมการ จริยธรรมๆ

3. ความรับผิดชอบ

ประธานฯ แจ้งเรื่องต่อที่ประชุมคณะกรรมการจริยธรรมฯ ถึงการขอรับการตรวจเยี่ยมเพื่อพัฒนา คุณภาพหรือรับรองมาตรฐานจากหน่วยงานหรือองค์กรที่ให้การรับรองคุณภาพการทำงานของคณะกรรมการ จริยธรรมฯโดยที่คณะกรรมการจริยธรรมฯ เจ้าหน้าที่สำนักงานจริยธรรมฯ และผู้เกี่ยวข้องทุกภาคส่วน ต้อง ปฏิบัติงานตามแนวทางที่ระบุในวิธีดำเนินการมาตรฐาน และเตรียมพร้อมในการขอรับการตรวจเยี่ยม

4. ขั้นตอนการปฏิบัติและผู้รับผิดชอบ

ขั้นตอน	การปฏิบัติ	ผู้รับผิดชอบ
1	ขอรับการตรวจเยี่ยม	ประธานฯ เลขานุการฯ และกรรมการ
		จริยธรรมๆ
2	รับแจ้งเรื่องการตรวจเยี่ยม	ประธานฯ
3	เตรียมรับการตรวจเยี่ยม	คณะกรรมการจริยธรรมฯ และ เจ้าหน้าที่
		สำนักงานจริยธรรมฯ
4	รับการตรวจเยี่ยม	คณะกรรมการจริยธรรมฯ และเจ้าหน้าที่
		สำนักงานจริยธรรมฯ
5	รับทราบรายงานผลการตรวจเยี่ยมและปรับปรุงแก้ไข	คณะกรรมการจริยธรรมฯ และเจ้าหน้าที่
	ข้อบกพร่อง	สำนักงานจริยธรรมฯ
6	การเก็บรักษารายงานสรุปผลการตรวจเยี่ยม	เลขานุการฯหรือเจ้าหน้าที่สำนักงาน
		จริยธรรมฯ



Banphaeo General Hospital Research Ethic Committee

การตรวจเยี่ยมคณะกรรมการจริยธรรมการวิจัยในมนุษย์

Survey and Audit of the REC

BGH REC 19/v02.0

เริ่มใช้ 1 ตุลาคม 2566

หน้า 4 ของ 45 หน้า

5. รายละเอียดการปฏิบัติ

การขอรับการตรวจเยี่ยมอาจเป็นความประสงค์ของคณะกรรมการจริยธรรมฯ หรืออาจเป็นความ ต้องการของหน่วยงานผู้สนับสนุนการวิจัย

5.1 ขอรับการตรวจเยี่ยม

- 5.1.1 คณะกรรมการจริยธรรมฯ อาจขอรับการตรวจเยี่ยมหน่วยงานหรือองค์กรที่ให้การ รับรองคุณภาพจากภายนอกเพื่อพัฒนาคุณภาพก่อนการรับรองมาตรฐาน
- 5.1.2 คณะกรรมการจริยธรรมฯ ลงมติเห็นชอบขอรับการตรวจเยี่ยมเพื่อรับรองมาตรฐานจาก องค์ กรระดับชาติ (NECAST) หรือนานาชาติ (SIDCER-NECAST/SIDCER-FERCAP RECOGNITION PROGRAMME) ขออนุมัติผู้อำนวยการโรงพยาบาลบ้านแพ้วเพื่อขอรับการตรวจเยี่ยม
- 5.1.3 คณะกรรมการจริยธรรมฯ กำหนดช่วงเวลาที่จะขอรับการตรวจเยี่ยม และดำเนินการ ประสานงานกับหน่วยงานหรือองค์กรที่ให้การรับรองคุณภาพจากภายนอกเพื่อขอรับการตรวจเยี่ยม/ การตรวจ เยี่ยมเพื่อรับรองมาตรฐาน

5.2 รับแจ้งเรื่องการตรวจเยี่ยม

ประธานฯ รับทราบกำหนดการตรวจเยี่ยมจากคณะกรรมการจริยธรรมฯตรวจเยี่ยมและแจ้งให้ คณะกรรมการจริยธรรมฯ ทราบ

5.3 เตรียมรับการตรวจเยี่ยม

- 5.3.1 ศึกษาข้อกำหนดของการเยี่ยมสำรวจเพื่อรับรองมาตรฐานการดำเนินการของ คณะกรรมการจริยธรรมๆ
- 5.3.2 คณะกรรมการจริยธรรมฯ ประเมินตนเองด้วยแบบฟอร์ม AF 19-01/v01.0 หรือ AF 19-02/v.02.0
 - 5.3.3 ดำเนินการตามข้อกำหนดเพื่อเตรียมรับการตรวจเยี่ยม
 - 5.3.4 ทบทวนวิธีดำเนินการมาตรฐาน
 - 5.3.5 ตรวจสอบความครบถ้วนของแฟ้มเอกสารโครงการวิจัย และการจัดเก็บ
 - 5.3.6 เตรียมเอกสาร ห้องประชุมและโสตทัศนูปกรณ์
- 5.3.7 เรียนเชิญผู้บริหารโรงพยาบาลเข้าร่วมในพิธีเปิด พิธีปิด และรับฟังข้อสรุปจากการเยี่ยม สำรวจ

5.4 รับการตรวจเยี่ยม

5.4.1 ประธานฯ และเลขานุการฯ ต้อนรับและนำผู้บริหารโรงพยาบาลและคณะกรรมการ



Banphaeo General Hospital Research Ethic Committee

การตรวจเยี่ยมคณะกรรมการจริยธรรมการวิจัยในมนุษย์

Survey and Audit of the REC

BGH REC 19/v02.0

เริ่มใช้ 1 ตุลาคม 2566

หน้า 5 ของ 45 หน้า

ตรวจเยี่ยมมายังห้องประชุมที่เตรียมไว้

- 5.4.2 คณะกรรมการจริยธรรมฯ และเจ้าหน้าที่สำนักงานจริยธรรมฯ เข้าร่วมในการประชุม
- 5.4.3 เริ่มการประชุม โดยคณะกรรมการตรวจเยี่ยมแจ้งวัตถุประสงค์ และระบุสิ่งที่ต้องการ ตรวจเยี่ยมและทบทวน
- 5.4.4 คณะกรรมการจริยธรรมฯ และเจ้าหน้าที่สำนักงานจริยธรรมฯ ให้สัมภาษณ์ คณะกรรมการตรวจเยี่ยม และตอบคำถามของคณะกรรมการตรวจเยี่ยม ด้วยความสุภาพ ชัดเจน และ ตรงตามที่ปฏิบัติจริง
 - 5.4.5 ค้นหาและจัดเตรียมข้อมูลหรือเอกสารที่กรรมการตรวจเยี่ยมร้องขอ
- 5.4.6 เลขานุการฯ หรือเจ้าหน้าที่สำนักงานจริยธรรมฯ บันทึกคำวิจารณ์และข้อเสนอแนะของ คณะกรรมการตรวจเยี่ยม

5.5 รับทราบรายงานผลการตรวจเยี่ยมและปรับปรุงแก้ไขข้อบกพร่อง

- 5.5.1 เลขานุการฯ นำเสนอรายงานสรุปผลการตรวจเยี่ยมในที่ประชุมคณะกรรมการฯ
- 5.5.2 คณะกรรมการฯ อภิปรายเพื่อหาแนวทางการปรับปรุงแก้ไขข้อบกพร่อง
- 5.5.3 เขียนแผน ดำเนินการปรับปรุงแก้ไขข้อบกพร่อง ภายในระยะเวลาที่เหมาะสม
- 5.5.4 ส่งแผนดำเนินการแก้ไขเพื่อให้คณะกรรมการฯ ตรวจเยี่ยมรับรอง

5.6 การเก็บรักษารายงานสรุปผลการตรวจเยี่ยม

เลขานุการฯ หรือเจ้าหน้าที่สำนักงานฯเก็บรักษาเอกสารที่เกี่ยวข้องกับการตรวจเยี่ยมของคณะกรรมการ ตรวจสอบในแฟ้ม "การตรวจเยี่ยม"

นิยามศัพท์

ไม่มี

7. ประวัติการแก้ไข

ผู้จัดทำ	ฉบับที่	วันที่	แสดงการแก้ไขหลัก
พว.ศิรินทิพย์ นิมิตภูวดล	1.0	1 มีนาคม 2563	ฉบับแรก
พญ.ศศิวิมล ไตรญาณ	2.0	1 ตุลาคม 2566	แก้ไขลำดับบทที่ และให้รหัส AF

8. เอกสารอ้างอิง



Banphaeo General Hospital Research Ethic Committee

การตรวจเยี่ยมคณะกรรมการจริยธรรมการวิจัยในมนุษย์

Survey and Audit of the REC

BGH REC 19/v02.0

เริ่มใช้ 1 ตุลาคม 2566

หน้า 6 ของ 45 หน้า

- 8.1 ICH Good Clinical Practice Guideline. กองควบคุมยา สำนักงานคณะกรรมการอาหารและยา กระทรวงสาธารณสุข ฉบับภาษาไทย (ปรับปรุงใหม่) 2552
- 8.2 แนวทางจริยธรรมการทำวิจัยในคนในประเทศไทย ชมรมจริยธรรมการวิจัยในคนในประเทศไทย พ.ศ. 2550
 - 8.3 http://www.fercap-sidcer.org/SIDCER_EC_Self_AssessmentTool_V3%5B2%5D.2.doc
 - 8.4 มาตรฐานการปฏิบัติงานจริยธรรมฯ โรงพยาบาลสรรพสิทธิประสงค์ จ.อุบลราชธานี ฉบับที่ 2.0

9. ภาคผนวก

ภาคผนวก 1 AF 19-01/v01.0 NECAST Self-Assessment Tool ภาคผนวก 2 AF 19-02/v01.0 SIDCER-NECAST Self-Assessment Tool



Banphaeo General Hospital Research Ethic Committee

การตรวจเยี่ยมคณะกรรมการจริยธรรมการวิจัยในมนุษย์

Survey and Audit of the REC

BGH REC 19/v02.0

เริ่มใช้ 1 ตุลาคม 2566 หน้า 7 ของ 45 หน้า

ภาคผนวก 1 AF 19-01/v01.0 NECAST Self-Assessment Tool



SELF-ASSESSMENT FORM

This tool is intended for use by Independent Ethics Committees or Institutional Review boards or Research Ethics Committee (IEC/IRB/REC) associated with a NECAST, NRCT. This is part of the process of NECAST Accreditation program, an IEC/IRB/REC will complete this form as an initial step of accreditation by NECAST.

The person completing this introduction form should have extensive knowledge of the IEC/IRB/REC being visited or assessed (usually the secretariat) and be able to answer questions or provide documentation regarding the following topics:

NAME OF T	HE IEC/IRB/REC:
-----------	-----------------

ADDRESS OF IEC/IRB/REC:

MAIN CONTACT (NAME) FOR IEC/IRB/REC: :

BRIEF INTRODUCTION OF THE IEC/IRB/REC:

Year established: Frequency of meetings:	per month or per year
Type (e.g. Biomedical, product de ☐ Clinical Research ☐ Biomedical Research ☐ Social and Behavioral Science ☐ Others, please specify	
Number of protocols reviewed/ 3y	years or per year:
Initial Review	
Full board	/3 years or per year
Expedited	/3 years or per year
After Approval Review	
Amendment	/3 years or per year
Continuing Report	/3 years or per year
Final Report	/3 years or per year



Banphaeo General Hospital Research Ethic Committee

การตรวจเยี่ยมคณะกรรมการจริยธรรมการวิจัยในมนุษย์

Survey and Audit of the REC

BGH REC 19/v02.0

เริ่มใช้ 1 ตุลาคม 2566

หน้า 8 ของ 45 หน้า

SAE Report	/3 years or per year
Violation/Deviation/Non-compliance	/3 years or per year

BRIEF INTRODUCTION OF IEC/IRB/REC STAFF AND MEMBERS



Banphaeo General Hospital Research Ethic Committee

การตรวจเยี่ยมคณะกรรมการจริยธรรมการวิจัยในมนุษย์

Survey and Audit of the REC

BGH REC 19/v02.0

เริ่มใช้ 1 ตุลาคม 2566

หน้า 9 ของ 45 หน้า

IEC/IRB/REC Composition							
No.	Name	Position	Profession/		Gender		tion(s)
1		CI. :	Expertise	M	F	Yes	No
1		Chair			<u> </u>		<u> </u>
2		Vice-chair					
3		Member					
4		Member					
5		Member					
6		Member					
7		Member					
8		Member					
9		Member					
10		Member					
11		Member					
12		Secretary Member					
13		Assistant Secretary Member					
14		Alternate member					
15		Alternate member					
16		Alternate member					
17		Alternate member					
18		Alternate member					
19		Alternate member					
20		Alternate member					
21		Alternate member					
22		Alternate member					
23		Alternate member					
24		Alternate member					
25		Alternate member					
••••		Alternate member					
••••		Alternate member					



Banphaeo General Hospital Research Ethic Committee

การตรวจเยี่ยมคณะกรรมการจริยธรรมการวิจัยในมนุษย์

Survey and Audit of the REC

BGH REC 19/v02.0

เริ่มใช้ 1 ตุลาคม 2566

หน้า 10 ของ 45 หน้า

SECTION	ITEM	A	В	C	D	COMMENTS		
A	STRUCTURE AND COMPOSIT							
A	(structure, composition and skills of the Enature of research reviewed)	ec an	a stai	1 are	appro	opriate to the amount and		
A 1	MEMBERSHIP REQUIREMENTS (at least 5 members, gender balance, experience,							
A1	non scientific and affiliated members and	term	s and	cond	itions	s of appointment)		
A 1.1	Does the EC have at least 5 members? (ICH 3.2.1)							
A1.2	Do the members contain a							
	diversity of gender? (WHO 4)							
A1.3	Does EC have at least one non affiliated							
	member?							
	(ICH 3.2.1, WHO 4)							
A1.4	Does the EC membership contain non							
	scientific member or lay person? (ICH 3.2.1, WHO 4)							
A1.5	Does EC membership consist of							
	members with appropriate expertise for							
	the research reviewed?							
A 1 C	(ICH 3.2.1, WHO 4)							
A1.6	Does the EC describe the party responsible for appointing members?							
	(WHO 4.1.1)							
A1.7	Does the EC members posses the							
	required experience, knowledge, skill							
	and relevant abilities to perform their							
	duties?							
A1.8	Does the EC policy and procedures							
711.0	describe the selection process of its							
	members?							
	(WHO 4.1.2, ICH 3.3.1)							
A1.9	Do the EC terms describe the duration							
	of appointment for its members?		Ш					
A 1 10	(WHO 4.2.1)							
A1.10	Do the EC terms describe the policy for the renewal of appointment for its							
	members? (WHO 4.2.2)							
A1.11	Do the EC terms describe the							
	disqualification procedure of its							
	members?							
	(WHO 4.2.3)							
		Ī	ĺ	ĺ	ĺ			



Banphaeo General Hospital Research Ethic Committee

การตรวจเยี่ยมคณะกรรมการจริยธรรมการวิจัยในมนุษย์

Survey and Audit of the REC

เริ่มใช้ 1 ตุลาคม 2566

หน้า 11 ของ 45 หน้า

BGH REC 19/v02.0

SECTION ITEM COMMENTS B \mathbf{C} D Do the EC terms describe the A1.12 resignation procedure for its members? (WHO 4.2.4) A1.13 Do the EC terms describe the replacement procedures for its members? (WHO 4.2.5) A1.14 Does the EC maintain a list of all its members with their current CV.? (ICH 3.2.1)A1.15 Does EC member sign a confidentiality agreement? (WHO 4.3.3) A1.16 Are EC members willing to publicize full name, profession and affiliation? (ICH 3.4. WHO 4.3.1) ADMINISTRATIVE REQUIREMENTS. **A2** (Adequate number of administrators to oversee the EC activities, have documentation of the functions and activities of staff and their terms and conditions of appointment) Does the EC have sufficient staff (full-A2.1 time or part-time) to meet its functions and responsibilities? (WHO 4.4) A2.2 Does the EC have a description of requirements for holding offices? (WHO 4.4) A2.3 Does the EC policy describe duration, disqualification, resignation and replacement procedures for its offices? (WHO 4.4) A2.4 Does the EC have documentation explaining the duties, obligations and responsibilities of its offices? (WHO 4.4) A2.5 Does the EC have an office space? (WHO 4.4) A2.6 Does the EC have the necessary equipments to run the office? (WHO 4.4) A2.7 Does the EC have available budget to meet its functions and responsibilities?



Banphaeo General Hospital Research Ethic Committee

การตรวจเยี่ยมคณะกรรมการจริยธรรมการวิจัยในมนุษย์

Survey and Audit of the REC

BGH REC 19/v02.0

เริ่มใช้ 1 ตุลาคม 2566 หน้า 12 ของ 45 หน้า

BECHOI		1			1 –	COMMITTEE
A2.8	Does EC document reimbursement for					
	work and expenses and is this made					
	available to the public upon request?	Ш	Ш	Ш	Ш	
	(WHO 4.3.2)					
	TRAINING OF EC MEMBERS		l			
A3	(EC needs to state and observe the provise	ions a	vaila	ble fo	or its	members to receive
110	introductory and continuous education)					
A3.1	Does the members' condition of					
	appointment state the provisions for					
	them to receive introductory and					
	ongoing training?					
	(WHO 4.7)					
A3.2	Did members of the EC receive an					
	introductory training?					
	(WHO 4.7)					
A3.3	Are EC members continually being					
	trained to enhance their capacity for					
	ethical review?					
	(WHO 4.7)					
A3.4	Does the EC review and document					
	trainings obtained by its members and					
	staff? (WHO 4.7)					
A4	MANAGEMENT OF CONFLICTS					
	(EC should have a policy to address confl	icts c	of inte	erests)	
A4.1	Does the EC have a process of					
	managing, minimizing or eliminating					
	conflicts of interest?					
	(WHO 4.1.3)					

В	ADHERENCE TO SPECIFIC POLICIES (EC to have appropriate management and operational procedures for optimal and systematic conduct of ethical review)					
B1	EC MANAGEMENT (EC to have terms of reference)					
B1.1	CEC to have terms of reference Does the EC have terms of reference which includes its scope, objectives, activities, organization and management? (WHO 4)					
B2	AVAILABILITY OF SOP (EC should have an SOP that covers its function and activities which they comply with)					



Banphaeo General Hospital Research Ethic Committee

การตรวจเยี่ยมคณะกรรมการจริยธรรมการวิจัยในมนุษย์

Survey and Audit of the REC

ລ່ອນ

BGH REC 19/v02.0

เริ่มใช้ 1 ตุลาคม 2566 หน้า 13 ของ 45 หน้า

SECTION	ITEM	A	В	C	D	COMMENTS
B2.1	Does the EC have written SOP? (ICH 3.2.2. WHO 4)					
B2.2	Does the SOP cover all functions and reviews undertaken by the EC? (ICH 3.2.2. WHO 4)					
B2.3	Does the EC comply with the written SOP? (ICH 3.2.2. WHO 4)					
B2.4	Is the SOP reviewed and revised as necessary?					
B2.5	Does EC make their SOP publicly available? (ICH 3.2.2.)					
D2	SUBMISSION GUIDELINES AND PR	ROCI	ESS			
B3	(EC should have a submission guideline i	nclud	ling it	ts req	uirem	nents and forms)
B3.1	Does the EC have any guidance on how to submit protocols? (WHO 5.1)					
B3.2	Does the EC have an application form? (WHO 5.2.2)					
B3.3	Does the EC indicate the format for submission? (WHO 5.2.3)					
B3.4	Does the EC indicate the number of copies of application to be submitted? (WHO 5.2.6)					
B3.5	Does the EC indicate the application procedures for protocol amendments and continuing review? (WHO 5.2.2)					
B3.6	Does the EC have an informed consent guidance/template which it made available to investigators to help with the preparation of the document?					
B3.7	Does the EC have a registration procedure (tracking system) for the applications made for review?					



Banphaeo General Hospital Research Ethic Committee

การตรวจเยี่ยมคณะกรรมการจริยธรรมการวิจัยในมนุษย์

Survey and Audit of the REC

เริ่มใช้ 1 ตุลาคม 2566 หน้า 14 ของ 45 หน้า

SECTION	ITEM	A	В	C	D	COMMENTS
B3.8	Does the EC specify the name and					
	address of the EC secretariat to whom					
	the application should be submitted?					
D2.0	(WHO 5.2.1)					
B3.9	Does the EC have means of					
	acknowledging applications made to them?					
	(WHO 5.2.8)					
B3.10	Does the EC communicate the					
B 3.10	incompleteness of an application?	П	П			
	and our process of an approcasion.					
B3.11	Does the EC indicate fee structure, if					
	any, for reviewing an application?					
	(WHO 5.2.11)					
B3.12	Does the EC indicate that application					
	forms should be signed and dated?					
70.10	(WHO 5.3.1)					
B3.13	Does the EC request that protocol be					
	submitted together with supporting					
	documents and annexes? (ICH 3.1.2, WHO 5.3.2)					
B3.14	Does EC request submission of the					
2011	project summary and diagrammatic		П			
	representative (flow chart) of the					
	protocol?					
	(WHO 5.3.3)					
B3.15	Does EC request submission of a					
	description of the ethical considerations					
	involved in the research?					
D2 16	(WHO 5.3.4)					
B3.16	Does EC request submission of case		lп			
	report forms, diary cards and other	Ш	Ш			
	questionnaires intended for research participants?					
	(WHO 5.3.5)					
B3.17	When a research involves a study					
20111	product does the EC request submission				П	
	an adequate summary of the study					
	product?					
	(ICH 3.1.2 WHO 5.36)					
B3.18	Does EC request submission of the	 	l			
	investigators CV?					
	(ICH 3.1.2 WHO 5.3.7)					



Banphaeo General Hospital Research Ethic Committee

การตรวจเยี่ยมคณะกรรมการจริยธรรมการวิจัยในมนุษย์

Survey and Audit of the REC

เริ่มใช้ 1 ตุลาคม 2566

หน้า 15 ของ 45 หน้า

SECTION	ITEM	A	В	C	D	COMMENTS
B3.19	Does EC request submission of the materials to be used for the recruitment of potential research participants? (ICH 3.1.2 WHO 5.3.8)					
B3.20	Does EC request submission of the informed consent form? (ICH 3.1.2 WHO 5.3.10)					
B3.21	Does EC request submission of a statement describing any compensation for study participants? (ICH 3.1.2 WHO 5.3.12)					
B3.22	Does EC request submission of a description of the arrangements for indemnity if applicable? (WHO 5.3.13)					
B3.23	Does EC request submission of a description of the arrangements for insurance coverage if applicable? (WHO 5.3.14)					
B3.24	Does EC request submission of a statement of agreement to comply with ethical principles set out in relevant guidelines? (WHO 5.3.15)					
B3.25	Does EC request submission of all significant previous decisions by the EC or regulatory authorities for the proposed study? (WHO 5.3.16)					
B4	MEETING REQUIREMENTS (EC should have documented meeting red and professional requirements)	quirer	nents	whic	th the	y comply with, quorum
B4.1	Does the EC meet regularly on scheduled date announced in advance? (ICH 3.2.2 WHO 6.1.1)					
B4.2	Does the EC form a quorum before holding its meeting? (WHO 4.5)					
B4.3	Does the EC require that at least one non affiliated member and a non scientist be part of a quorum for each of its meeting?					



Banphaeo General Hospital Research Ethic Committee

การตรวจเยี่ยมคณะกรรมการจริยธรรมการวิจัยในมนุษย์

Survey and Audit of the REC

เริ่มใช้ 1 ตุลาคม 2566

BGH REC 19/v02.0

หน้า 16 ของ 45 หน้า

SECTION	ITEM	A	В	C	D	COMMENTS
	(WHO 4.5.2)					
B4.4	Does the EC require that meetings should be minuted and there should be an approval procedure for the minutes? (WHO 4.5.2)					

COMPLETENESS OF ITS REVIEW PROCESS								
\boldsymbol{C}	(EC review protocols and its supporting documents in a timely fashion according to an							
	established procedure to protect the interest of research participants)							
C1	REVIEW PROCESS (enough time for protocol review, EC to have documented and							
C1	detailed review process which is complied							
C1.1	Does the EC follow the operating							
	procedure for review?							
	(ICH 3.3, WHO 6)							
C1.2	Does the EC review protocols and all							
	relevant documents within a reasonable							
	time frame?							
	(ICH 3.1.2, WHO 6.1.2)							
C1.3	Does the EC have an established							
	procedure for expedited review?							
	(ICH 3.3.5, WHO 6)							
C1.4	Does the EC indicate the nature of the							
	application, amendments, continuing				$ \sqcup $			
	review and other considerations that							
	will be eligible for expedited review?							
G1 5	(ICH 3.3.5, WHO 6.3.1)							
C1.5	Does the EC have policies and							
	procedures that describe the process							
	used to evaluate whether research							
	reviewed by the expedited procedures							
	meets the criteria for review?							
C1.6	(ICH 3.3.5, WHO 6.3.3)							
C1.6	Does the EC have an established							
	procedure for full board review?							
C1 7	(WHO 6.2)							
C1.7	Does the EC have an established							
	process for obtaining additional		Ш					
	expertise when reviewing specific							
	protocols?							
C1.0	(ICH 3.3.6, WHO 4.6)							
C1.8	Does the EC have terms of reference for							
	independent consultants?	—			—			



Banphaeo General Hospital Research Ethic Committee

การตรวจเยี่ยมคณะกรรมการจริยธรรมการวิจัยในมนุษย์

Survey and Audit of the REC

BGH REC 19/v02.0

เริ่มใช้ 1 ตุลาคม 2566

หน้า 17 ของ 45 หน้า

SECTION	ITEM	A	В	C	D	COMMENTS
	(WHO 4.6)					
C1.9	Does the EC have an established process for inviting applicants/investigators to elaborate on specific issues when applicable? (ICH 3.2.5)					
C2	ELEMENTS OF REVIEW (EC to have a policy and procedure for rescientific design and conduct and ethics)	view,	, elem	nents	revie	wed should include the
C2.1	Does the EC have a policy and procedure for reviewing protocols? (WHO 6.2)					
C2.2	Does the EC review the scientific design and conduct of the study? (WHO 6.2.1)					
C2.3	Does the EC review the justification for the use of control arms? (WHO 6.2.1.3)					
C2.4	Does the EC review the criteria for prematurely withdrawing research participants? (WHO 6.2.1.4)					
C2.5	Does the EC review the criteria for suspending or terminating the research? (WHO 6.2.1.5)					
C2.6	Does the EC have justification of predictable risks and inconveniences weighed against the anticipated benefits for the research participants and concerned communities? (WHO 6.2.1.2)					
C2.7	Does the EC review the adequacy of provisions made for monitoring and auditing the conduct of the research, including the constitution of a data safety and monitoring board (DSMB)? (WHO 6.2.1.6)					
C2.8	Does the EC review the manner in which the results of the research will be reported and published? (WHO 6.2.1.8)					



Banphaeo General Hospital Research Ethic Committee

การตรวจเยี่ยมคณะกรรมการจริยธรรมการวิจัยในมนุษย์

Survey and Audit of the REC

BGH REC 19/v02.0

เริ่มใช้ 1 ตุลาคม 2566 หน้า 18 ของ 45 หน้า

SECTION	ITEM	A	В	C	D	COMMENTS
C2.9	Does the EC review whether the risk posed to research subjects is reasonable in relation to its anticipated benefits? (WHO 6.2.1.2)					
C2.10	Does the EC follow the established procedure for determining if potential risks posed to the vulnerable population are acceptable? (ICH 3.1.6)					
C2.11	Does the EC review the description of the informed consent process and the identification of those responsible for obtaining it? (WHO 6.2.5.1)					
C2.12	Does the EC review the informed consent focusing on measures to improve participant understanding and voluntary decision making? (WHO 6.2.5.2)					
C2.13	Does the EC review justification to include research individual that cannot consent and account of the arrangements for obtaining consent? (ICH 3.1.6, WHO 6.2.5.3)					
C2.14	Does the EC have and follow the established procedure to determine if the vulnerable subjects are protected in the consent process? (ICH 3.1.5)					
C2.15	Does the EC have and follow the established procedure in reviewing the consent process in emergency situation in research protocol? (ICH 3.1.2)					
C2.16	Does the EC review the information assuring research participants that they will receive available information during the course of the research relevant to their participation? (WHO 6.2.5.4)					



Banphaeo General Hospital Research Ethic Committee

การตรวจเยี่ยมคณะกรรมการจริยธรรมการวิจัยในมนุษย์

Survey and Audit of the REC

BGH REC 19/v02.0

เริ่มใช้ 1 ตุลาคม 2566 หน้า 19 ของ 45 หน้า

SECTION	ITEM	A	В	C	D	COMMENTS
C2.17	Does the EC review the provisions made by researchers for receiving and responding to queries and complaints from participants or representatives during the course of the research? (WHO 6.2.5.5)					
C2.18	Does the EC review the suitability of the investigators qualifications and experience for the proposed study? (ICH 3.1.3, WHO 6.2.3.1)					
C2.19	Does the EC review any plans to withdraw or withhold standard therapies for the purpose of the research and the justification for such action? (WHO 6.2.3.2)					
C2.20	Does the EC review the steps to be taken if research participants voluntarily withdraw during the course of the research? (WHO 6.2.3.5)					
C2.21	Does EC have and follow an established procedure in evaluating the protection of privacy and confidentiality of the research participants during and after the completion of the research? (WHO 6.4)					
C2.22	Does the EC have and follow established procedure to determine if the vulnerable subjects are properly protected? (ICH 3.1.6)					
C2.23	Does EC have and follow procedures of determining whether the method used to recruit the research subjects is acceptable or not? (WHO 6.2.2)					
C2.24	Does the EC review the description of the plan to make the study product available to research participants following the research if applicable? (WHO 6.2.3.8)					



Banphaeo General Hospital Research Ethic Committee

การตรวจเยี่ยมคณะกรรมการจริยธรรมการวิจัยในมนุษย์

Survey and Audit of the REC

BGH REC 19/v02.0

เริ่มใช้ 1 ตุลาคม 2566

หน้า 20 ของ 45 หน้า

SECTION	ITEM	A	В	C	D	COMMENTS
C2.25	Does the EC have and follow established procedure for evaluating the inclusion and exclusion criteria? (WHO 6.2.2.4, 6.2.2.5)					
C2.26	Does the EC have and follow established procedure for evaluating the characteristics of the population from which participants are drawn? (WHO 6.2.2.1)					
C2.27	Does EC have methods of ensuring that additional safe guards are included to protect the rights and welfare in research involving vulnerable populations? (ICH 3.1.6, 3.1.7)					
C2.28	Does the EC review payment for research participants to determine if it will unduly influence them to participate in research? (ICH 3.1.8, WHO 6.3.2.10)					
C2.29	Does the EC review compensation for research participants to determine if it they adequately compensated for injury? (ICH 3.1.9, WHO 6.3.2.11)					
C2.30	Does EC review the standard of care and other post trial benefits offered to participants? (WHO 6.3.2.3)					
C2.31	Does the EC review the impact and relevance of research on the local community from which the research participants are drawn? (WHO 6.3.6.1)					
C2.32	Does the EC review the steps taken to consult with the concerned communities during the course of the designing of the research? (WHO 6.3.6.2)					



Banphaeo General Hospital Research Ethic Committee

การตรวจเยี่ยมคณะกรรมการจริยธรรมการวิจัยในมนุษย์

Survey and Audit of the REC

เริ่มใช้ 1 ตุลาคม 2566 หน้า 21 ของ 45 หน้า

SECTION	ITEM	A	В	C	D	COMMENTS
C2.33	Does the EC review the influence of the community on the consent of individuals? (WHO 6.3.6.3)					
C2.34	Does the EC review proposed community consultation during the course of the research? (WHO 6.3.6.4)					
C2.35	Does the EC review the extent to which research contributes to capacity building within the community? (WHO 6.3.6.5)					
C2.36	Does the EC review a description of the availability and affordability of any successful study product to the concerned communities following the research? (WHO 6.3.6.6)					
C2.37	Does the EC review the rights to give subjects additional information when the additional information would add meaningfully to the protection of the rights, safety and/or well-being of the subjects? (WHO 6.2.5.4)					
С3	AFTER PROTOCOL APPROVAL (EC to document and follow procedures of reports)	of rev	iews	of am	nendn	nents, continuing, SAE
C3.1	Does the EC have continuing review? (ICH 3.1.4, 3.3.3, WHO 9)					
C3.2	Does the EC have and follow an established procedure for determining the frequency of continuing review? (ICH 3.1.4, WHO 9.2)					
C3.3	Does the EC have and follow an established procedure for handling modification (amendments) of research protocol? (ICH 3.2.7, WHO 9.3)					
C3.4	Does the EC have documents required for continuous review and is this list made available to investigators?					



Banphaeo General Hospital Research Ethic Committee

การตรวจเยี่ยมคณะกรรมการจริยธรรมการวิจัยในมนุษย์

Survey and Audit of the REC

BGH REC 19/v02.0

เริ่มใช้ 1 ตุลาคม 2566

หน้า 22 ของ 45 หน้า

SECTION	ITEM	A	В	C	D	COMMENTS
C3.5	Does the EC consider the submitted relevant information and documents in its continuing review? (WHO 9.3)					
C3.6	Does the EC have and follow an established procedure to notify investigators when it will conduct a continuing review? (ICH 3.1.4, WHO 9.4)					
C3.7	Does ERC have and follows policies and procedures for suspending or terminating previously approved research if need be based on findings in monitoring or continuing review? (WHO 9.4)					
C3.8	Does the EC require the investigator to notify the EC in writing of the reasons and a summary of the research results when applicant prematurely suspend or terminate the study? (WHO 9.5)					
C3.9	Does EC do a follow up review when serious and unexpected adverse events occur as a result of the conduct of the study or study (test) product and necessary steps need to be instituted to protect participants? (WHO 9.3b)					
C3.10	Does the EC specify that no deviations from, or changes of, the protocol should be initiated without prior written IRB/IEC approval/favorable opinion of an appropriate amendment? (ICH 3.3.7)					
C3.11	Does the EC specify that the investigator should promptly report to the IRB/IEC any deviations from, or					



Banphaeo General Hospital Research Ethic Committee

การตรวจเยี่ยมคณะกรรมการจริยธรรมการวิจัยในมนุษย์

Survey and Audit of the REC

2 9 %

BGH REC 19/v02.0

เริ่มใช้ 1 ตุลาคม 2566 หน้า 23 ของ 45 หน้า

)



Banphaeo General Hospital Research Ethic Committee

การตรวจเยี่ยมคณะกรรมการจริยธรรมการวิจัยในมนุษย์

Survey and Audit of the REC

BGH REC 19/v02.0

เริ่มใช้ 1 ตุลาคม 2566

หน้า 24 ของ 45 หน้า

SECTION	ITEM	A	В	C	D	COMMENTS
C4.3	Does the minutes record protocols and documents reviewed, the dates of approval, modifications required prior to its approval or disapproval and termination/suspension of any prior approval? (ICH 3.1.2)					
C4.4	Does the EC have an approval procedure for its minutes? (WHO 6.1.3)					
C5	DECISION MAKING PROCESS (EC should have a procedure for decision process)	mak	ing aı	nd me	ember	rs should participate in the
C5.1	Are decisions only made in meetings where a quorum is present? (ICH 3.2.3, WHO 7.3)					
C5.2	Does EC ensure that only members who participate in the review should participate in the decision? (ICH 3.2.4, WHO 7.5)					
C5.3	Are all relevant documents required for full review available and considered before a decision is made? (WHO 7.4)					
C5.4	Does the EC have a predefined method of arriving at a decision e.g. by consensus or vote? (WHO 7.6)					
C5.5	Does the EC ensure that members with conflicts of interest are not part of the decision? (WHO 7.1)					
C5.6	Do the EC members have sufficient time to review and discuss before a decision is made? (WHO 7.2)					
C5.7	When a decision is made to re-review a protocol, does the EC clearly document the areas needed to be revised? (WHO 7.8)					



Banphaeo General Hospital Research Ethic Committee

การตรวจเยี่ยมคณะกรรมการจริยธรรมการวิจัยในมนุษย์

Survey and Audit of the REC

BGH REC 19/v02.0

เริ่มใช้ 1 ตุลาคม 2566

หน้า 25 ของ 45 หน้า

SECTION	ITEM	A	В	C	D	COMMENTS
C5.8	Are negative decisions supported with					
	clearly stated reasons?	Ш				
	(WHO 7.9)					
l n	AFTER REVIEW PROCESS			• .		
D	(EC should adequately and effectively co	mmu	nıcate	es its	decisi	ion to investigators)
	COMMUNICATING A DECISION (E	C hay	ie an	effec	tive a	nd timely way of
D1	communicating a decision with clearly sta				uve a	ind timery way or
D1.1	Are the conclusions of a decision					
	communicated in writing to the					
	applicant within 14 days?					
	(WHO 8)					
D1.2	Does the EC clearly specify areas that					
	need to be revised when communicating		Ш			
	a provisional approval decision to					
	investigators?					
D1.3	(ICH 3.3.9, WHO 7.4) Does the decision letter include the					
D1.3	exact title of the protocol reviewed?	П			П	
	(WHO 8.1)					
D1.4	Does the decision letter include the					
	specific identification number of the					
	documents reviewed including the					
	informed consent form?					
	(WHO 8.2)					
D1.5	Does the decision letter include the					
	name and title of the applicant(s)?			Ш		
D1.6	(WHO 8.4)					
D1.6	Does the decision letter include the date					
	and place of the decision? (WHO 8.6)					
D1.7	Does the decision letter include the					
D1.7	name of the EC taking the decision?					
	(WHO 8.7)					
D1.8	Does the decision letter include a					
	statement of the responsibilities of the					
	applicant?					
	(ICH 3.3.6, 3.3.7, WHO 8.11)					
D1.9	Does the decision letter include the					
	signature of the chairperson (or other					
1	authorized person) and date?			1		



Banphaeo General Hospital Research Ethic Committee

การตรวจเยี่ยมคณะกรรมการจริยธรรมการวิจัยในมนุษย์

Survey and Audit of the REC

เริ่มใช้ 1 ตุลาคม 2566

	หน้า 26 ของ 45 หน้า
	COMMENTS

SECTION	ITEM	A	В	C	D	COMMENTS
	(WHO 8.14)					
D1.10	Does the EC inform investigators of its re-review procedure, schedule/plan of ongoing review? (WHO 8.12)					
D1.11	Does the EC issue suspension or termination letters with reasons for suspension or termination (or the conditions of lifting suspension or termination) clearly stated? (ICH 3.3.9, WHO 9.5)					
D1.12	Does the decision documentation clearly explain how the applicant can communicate with the EC? (WHO 8.11)					

E	DOCUMENTATION AND ARCHIVING (EC systematically document and archive its activities for a good time period)							
E1.1	Does the EC have and follow operating procedures for record keeping and archiving of all records and communication documents? (ICH 3.4, WHO 10)							
E1.2	Does EC have and follow operation procedure for the access or retrieve of various documents, files or archives? (ICH 3.4, WHO 10)							
E1.3	Does the filing, archiving, accessing and retrieving of the documents meet the established procedures? (ICH 3.4, WHO 10)							
E1.4	Does the EC maintain a complete file or database of all the relevant materials in each research protocol? (WHO 10.7)							
E1.5	Does the EC follow the requirement to retain all the records for at least 3 years after the completion of investigation? (ICH 3.4, WHO 10)							



Banphaeo General Hospital Research Ethic Committee

การตรวจเยี่ยมคณะกรรมการจริยธรรมการวิจัยในมนุษย์

Survey and Audit of the REC

BGH REC 19/v02.0

เริ่มใช้ 1 ตุลาคม 2566

หน้า 27 ของ 45 หน้า

SECTION	ITEM	A	В	\mathbf{C}	D	COMMENTS
E1.6	Could all the relevant records be inspected by the appropriate authority? (ICH 3.4, WHO 10)					
E1.7	Does the EC document its SOPs and terms of reference? (WHO 10.1)					
E1.8	Does the EC document the CV of all its members? (WHO 10.2)					
E1.9	Does the EC document its published guideline for submission of protocols? (WHO 10.4)					
E1.10	Does the EC document the agenda and minutes of its meetings? (WHO 10.5, 10.6)					
E1.11	Does the EC document copies of its decision and any advice or requirements sent to the applicants? (WHO 10.9)					
E1.12	Does the EC document all the written documentations received during the follow-up? (WHO 10.10)					
E1.13	Does the EC document the notification of completion, premature suspension or termination of study? (WHO 10.11)					
E1.14	Does the EC document the final report of the study? (WHO 10.12)					



Banphaeo General Hospital Research Ethic Committee

การตรวจเยี่ยมคณะกรรมการจริยธรรมการวิจัยในมนุษย์

เริ่มใช้ 1 ตุลาคม 2566 หน้า 28 ของ 45 หน้า

BGH REC 19/v02.0

Survey and Audit of the REC

ภาคผนวก 2 AF 19-02/v01.0 SIDCER-NECAST Self-Assessment Tool



This tool is intended for use by research ethics committees or Institutional Review boards (IEC/IRB) associated with a SIDCER member Regional Forum. This is part of the process of SIDCER-NECAST recognition program, an IEC/IRB will complete this form as an initial step of surveying and evaluation to be recognized by SIDCER-NECAST

The person completing the assessment should have extensive knowledge of the IEC/IRB being assessed (usually the secretariat) and be able to answer questions or provide documentation regarding the following topics:

NAME OF THE EC:		
ADDRESS OF EC:		
MAIN CONTACT (NAME) FOR EC:		

BRIEF INTRODUCTION OF THE EC:

Year established:

Frequency of meetings:

Type (e.g. Biomedical, product development etc.) and number of protocols reviewed/year:



Banphaeo General Hospital Research Ethic Committee

การตรวจเยี่ยมคณะกรรมการจริยธรรมการวิจัยในมนุษย์

Survey and Audit of the REC

BGH REC 19/v02.0

เริ่มใช้ 1 ตุลาคม 2566

หน้า 29 ของ 45 หน้า

BRIEF INTRODUCTION OF IEC/IRB STAFF AND MEMBERS

	IEC/IRB Composition			
Nome	Profession & Credentials	Gender	Affiliation(s)	
Name	Name Profession & Credendals			
		i i		
		HH		
		HH		
		\vdash		
		7 7		
		HH		
		HH		
		\sqcup		
		\sqcap		



Banphaeo General Hospital Research Ethic Committee

การตรวจเยี่ยมคณะกรรมการจริยธรรมการวิจัยในมนุษย์

Survey and Audit of the REC

BGH REC 19/v02.0

เริ่มใช้ 1 ตุลาคม 2566

หน้า 30 ของ 45 หน้า

SECTION	ITEM	A	В	C	D	COMMENTS	
	STRUCTURE AND COMPOSITION OF	EC					
A	(structure, composition and skills of the EC a of research reviewed)	ınd sta	ıff are	appro	priate	e to the amount and nature	
	MEMBERSHIP REQUIREMENTS (at least 5 members, gender balance, experience, non						
A1	scientific and affiliated members and terms a						
A 1.1	Does the EC have at least 5 members?						
	(ICH 3.2.1)				╙		
A1.2	Do the members contain a diversity of						
	gender?			╽Ш			
A 1 2	(WHO 4)		1	-	1		
A1.3	Does EC have at least one non affiliated member?			_			
	(ICH 3.2.1,						
	WHO 4)						
A1.4	Does the EC membership contain non	<u> </u>	1		<u> </u>		
	scientific member or lay person?						
	(ICH 3.2.1,						
	WHO 4)						
A1.5	Does EC membership consist of members		<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
	with appropriate expertise for the research						
	reviewed?						
A1.6	(ICH 3.2.1, WHO 4) Does the EC describe the party responsible		1	-			
A1.0	for appointing members?				П		
	(WHO 4.1.1)						
A1.7	Does the EC members posses the required	<u> </u>	1				
	experience, knowledge, skill and relevant						
	abilities to perform their duties?						
	(WHO 4)						
A1.8	Does the EC policy and procedures						
	describe the selection process of its						
	members?						
A1.9	(WHO 4.1.2, ICH 3.3.1) Do the EC terms describe the duration of		 	 			
111./	appointment for its members?						
	(WHO 4.2.1)						
A1.10	Do the EC terms describe the policy for the						
	renewal of appointment for its members?						
	(WHO 4.2.2)						
Λ1 11	Do the EC terms describe the	-	 	 	1		
A1.11	disqualification procedure of its members?						
	(WHO 4.2.3)		🖳	┌└			



Banphaeo General Hospital Research Ethic Committee

การตรวจเยี่ยมคณะกรรมการจริยธรรมการวิจัยในมนุษย์

Survey and Audit of the REC

เริ่มใช้ 1 ตุลาคม 2566

หน้า 31 ของ 45 หน้า

SECTION	ITEM	A	В	C	D	COMMENTS
A1.12	Do the EC terms describe the resignation					
	procedure for its members?					
	(WHO 4.2.4)					
A1.13	Do the EC terms describe the replacement					
	procedures for its members?					
	(WHO 4.2.5)					
A1.14	Does the EC maintain a list of all its					
	members with their current CV.?					
	(ICH 3.2.1)					
A1.15	Does EC member sign a confidentiality					
	agreement?					
	(WHO 4.3.3)					
A1.16	Are EC members willing to publicize full					
	name, profession and affiliation?			П		
	(ICH 3.4. WHO 4.3.1)					
	ADMINISTRATIVE REQUIREMENTS.	•		•		
A2	(Adequate number of administrators to overse	ee the	EC a	ctiviti	es, ha	ve documentation of the
	functions and activities of staff and their term	is and	cond	itions	of app	pointment)
A2.1	Does the EC have sufficient staff (full-time					
	or part-time) to meet its functions and					
	responsibilities?					
	(WHO 4.4)					
A2.2	Does the EC have a description of					
	requirements for holding offices?					
	(WHO 4.4)					
A2.3	Does the EC policy describe duration,					
	disqualification, resignation and					
	replacement procedures for its offices?					
	(WHO 4.4)					
A2.4	Does the EC have documentation					
	explaining the duties, obligations and					
	responsibilities of its offices?					
	(WHO 4.4)					
A2.5	Does the EC have an office space?					
	(WHO 4.4)					
A2.6	Does the EC have the necessary					
	equipments to run the office?		Ш	Ш	Ш	
	(WHO 4.4)					
A2.7	Does the EC have available budget to meet					
	its functions and responsibilities?					
A2.8	Does EC document reimbursement for				_	
	work and expenses and is this made					



Banphaeo General Hospital Research Ethic Committee

การตรวจเยี่ยมคณะกรรมการจริยธรรมการวิจัยในมนุษย์

Survey and Audit of the REC

เริ่มใช้ 1 ตุลาคม 2566

BGH REC 19/v02.0

หน้า 32 ของ 45 หน้า

SECTION	ITEM	A	В	C	D	COMMENTS
	(WHO 4.3.2)					
	TRAINING OF EC MEMBERS					
A3	(EC needs to state and observe the provisions	avail	able f	or its	memb	pers to receive introductory
	and continuous education)	1		ı		
A3.1	Does the members' condition of	_	_		_	
	appointment state the provisions for them		Ш	Ш	Ш	
	to receive introductory and ongoing					
	training?					
	(WHO 4.7)					
A3.2	Did members of the EC receive an					
	introductory training?					
	(WHO 4.7)					
A3.3	Are EC members continually being trained					
	to enhance their capacity for ethical					
	review?					
	(WHO 4.7)					
A3.4	Does the EC review and document					
	trainings obtained by its members and					
	staff?					
	(WHO 4.7)					
A4	MANAGEMENT OF CONFLICTS					
A4	(EC should have a policy to address conflicts	of int	erests	3)		
A4.1	Does the EC have a process of managing,					
	minimizing or eliminating conflicts of					
	interest?					
	(WHO 4.1.3)					

В	ADHERENCE TO SPECIFIC POLICIES (EC to have appropriate management and open conduct of ethical review)		nal pro	ocedui	es foi	optimal and systematic
B1	EC MANAGEMENT (EC to have terms of reference)					
B1.1	Does the EC have terms of reference which includes its scope, objectives, activities, organization and management? (WHO 4)					
B2	AVAILABILITY OF SOP (EC should have an SOP that covers its funct	ion an	d acti	vities	which	n they comply with)
B2.1	Does the EC have written SOP? (ICH 3.2.2. WHO 4)					
B2.2	Does the SOP cover all functions and reviews undertaken by the EC? (ICH 3.2.2. WHO 4)					
B2.3	Does the EC comply with the written SOP?					



Banphaeo General Hospital Research Ethic Committee

การตรวจเยี่ยมคณะกรรมการจริยธรรมการวิจัยในมนุษย์

Survey and Audit of the REC

BGH REC 19/v02.0

เริ่มใช้ 1 ตุลาคม 2566

หน้า 33 ของ 45 หน้า

SECTION	ITEM	A	В	C	D	COMMENTS
	(ICH 3.2.2. WHO 4)					
B2.4	Is the SOP reviewed and revised as					
	necessary?					
B2.5	Does EC make their SOP publicly					
	available?		Ш		Ш	
	(ICH 3.2.2.)					
В3	SUBMISSION GUIDELINES AND PROC					
	(EC should have a submission guideline inclu	iding	its rec	uiren	ients a	and forms)
B3.1	Does the EC have any guidance on how to					
	submit protocols?					
	(WHO 5.1)					
B3.2	Does the EC have an application form?					
D 3.2	(WHO 5.2.2)					
B3.3	Does the EC indicate the format for					
D 3.3	submission?		П	Ιп	Ιп	
	(WHO 5.2.3)					
B3.4	Does the EC indicate the number of copies					
20	of application to be submitted?					
	(WHO 5.2.6)	Ш	Ш	Ш	Ш	
	,					
B3.5	Does the EC indicate the application					
	procedures for protocol amendments and				\Box	
	continuing review?		ш			
	(WHO 5.2.2)					
B3.6	Does the EC have an informed consent					
	guidance/template which it made available			П	П	
	to investigators to help with the preparation					
	of the document?					
B3.7	Does the EC have a registration procedure					
	(tracking system) for the applications made	Ш	Ш	Ш		
D2 0	for review?					
B3.8	Does the EC specify the name and address		_	l —		
	of the EC secretariat to whom the	Ш		ш		
	application should be submitted?					
B3.9	(WHO 5.2.1) Does the EC have means of acknowledging					
Б3.9	applications made to them?			$ \Box $		
	(WHO 5.2.8)					
B3.10	Does the EC communicate the					
20.10	incompleteness of an application?					
B3.11	Does the EC indicate fee structure, if any,					
	for reviewing an application?					
	(WHO 5.2.11)					
B3.12	Does the EC indicate that application forms					
	should be signed and dated?	Ш				



Banphaeo General Hospital Research Ethic Committee

การตรวจเยี่ยมคณะกรรมการจริยธรรมการวิจัยในมนุษย์

Survey and Audit of the REC

เริ่มใช้ 1 ตุลาคม 2566 หน้า 34 ของ 45 หน้า

SECTION	ITEM	A	В	C	D	COMMENTS
	(WHO 5.3.1)					
B3.13	Does the EC request that protocol be submitted together with supporting documents and annexes? (ICH 3.1.2, WHO 5.3.2)					
B3.14	Does EC request submission of the project summary and diagrammatic representative (flow chart) of the protocol? (WHO 5.3.3)					
B3.15	Does EC request submission of a description of the ethical considerations involved in the research? (WHO 5.3.4)					
B3.16	Does EC request submission of case report forms, diary cards and other questionnaires intended for research participants? (WHO 5.3.5)					
B3.17	When a research involves a study product does the EC request submission an adequate summary of the study product? (ICH 3.1.2 WHO 5.36)					
B3.18	Does EC request submission of the investigators CV? (ICH 3.1.2 WHO 5.3.7)					
B3.19	Does EC request submission of the materials to be used for the recruitment of potential research participants? (ICH 3.1.2 WHO 5.3.8)					
B3.20	Does EC request submission of the informed consent form? (ICH 3.1.2 WHO 5.3.10)					
B3.21	Does EC request submission of a statement describing any compensation for study participants? (ICH 3.1.2 WHO 5.3.12)					
B3.22	Does EC request submission of a description of the arrangements for indemnity if applicable? (WHO 5.3.13)					
B3.23	Does EC request submission of a description of the arrangements for insurance coverage if applicable? (WHO 5.3.14)					
B3.24	Does EC request submission of a statement of agreement to comply with ethical principles set out in relevant guidelines? (WHO 5.3.15)					



Banphaeo General Hospital Research Ethic Committee

การตรวจเยี่ยมคณะกรรมการจริยธรรมการวิจัยในมนุษย์

Survey and Audit of the REC

เริ่มใช้ 1 ตุลาคม 2566 หน้า 35 ของ 45 หน้า

SECTION	ITEM	A	В	C	D	COMMENTS
B3.25	Does EC request submission of all	•	•		•	
	significant previous decisions by the EC or					
	regulatory authorities for the proposed					
	study?					
	(WHO 5.3.16)					
	MEETING REQUIREMENTS					
B4	(EC should have documented meeting require	ement	s whi	ch the	y com	ply with, quorum and
	professional requirements)					
B4.1	Does the EC meet regularly on scheduled					
	date announced in advance?					
	(ICH 3.2.2 WHO 6.1.1)					
B4.2	Does the EC form a quorum before holding	_	_	_	_	
	its meeting?			Ш	Ш	
	(WHO 4.5)					
B4.3	Does the EC require that at least one non	_	_	_	_	
	affiliated member and a non scientist be	Ш	Ш	Ш	Ш	
	part of a quorum for each of its meeting?					
	(WHO 4.5.2)					
B4.4	Does the EC require that meetings should		l —		_	
	be minuted and there should be an approval	Ш	Ш	Ш	Ш	
	procedure for the minutes?					
	(WHO 4.5.2)		~			
C	COMPLETENESS OF ITS REVIEW PRO			. 1	c 1 ·	1.
C	(EC review protocols and its supporting docu					
	established procedure to protect the interest of					
C1	REVIEW PROCESS (enough time for prote	ocol re	eview	, EC t	o hav	e documented and detailed
G1 1	review process which is complied with)	I	I	1	I	
C1.1	Does the EC follow the operating	l —				
	procedure for review?	Ш	Ш	ш		
C1.2	(ICH 3.3, WHO 6) Does the EC review protocols and all					
C1.2	relevant documents within a reasonable					
	time frame?					
	(ICH 3.1.2, WHO 6.1.2)					
C1.3	Does the EC have an established procedure					
01.3	for expedited review?			ΙП		
	(ICH 3.3.5, WHO 6)					
C1.4	Does the EC indicate the nature of the					
	application, amendments, continuing					
	review and other considerations that will be					
	eligible for expedited review?					
	(ICH 3.3.5, WHO 6.3.1)					
C1.5	Does the EC have policies and procedures					
	that describe the process used to evaluate					



Banphaeo General Hospital Research Ethic Committee

การตรวจเยี่ยมคณะกรรมการจริยธรรมการวิจัยในมนุษย์

Survey and Audit of the REC

BGH REC 19/v02.0

เริ่มใช้ 1 ตุลาคม 2566 หน้า 36 ของ 45 หน้า

SECTION	ITEM	A	В	C	D	COMMENTS
	whether research reviewed by the expedited					
	procedures meets the criteria for review?					
	(ICH 3.3.5, WHO 6.3.3)					
C1.6	Does the EC have an established procedure					
C1.0	for full board review?					
	(WHO 6.2)				Ш	
C1.7	Does the EC have an established process					
	for obtaining additional expertise when reviewing specific protocols?					
	(ICH 3.3.6, WHO 4.6)					
C1.8	Does the EC have terms of reference for					
	independent consultants?					
G1.0	(WHO 4.6)					
C1.9	Does the EC have an established process for inviting applicants/investigators to					
	elaborate on specific issues when					
	applicable?					
	(ICH 3.2.5)					
G 2	ELEMENTS OF REVIEW					
C2	(EC to have a policy and procedure for review	w, elei	ments	revie	wed s	hould include the scientific
C2.1	design and conduct and ethics) Does the EC have a policy and procedure		1			
C2.1	for reviewing protocols?	П	П	П		
	(WHO 6.2)					
C2.2	Does the EC review the scientific design		_			
	and conduct of the study?		Ш	Ш		
	(WHO 6.2.1)					
C2.3	Does the EC review the justification for the					
	use of control arms?					
~~.	(WHO 6.2.1.3)					
C2.4	Does the EC review the criteria for		$ \Box $			
	prematurely withdrawing research participants?					
	(WHO 6.2.1.4)					
C2.5	Does the EC review the criteria for					
C2.5	suspending or terminating the research?					
	(WHO 6.2.1.5)					
	(== = = ====)					
C2.6	Does the EC have justification of					
	predictable risks and inconveniences					
	weighed against the anticipated benefits for					



Banphaeo General Hospital Research Ethic Committee

การตรวจเยี่ยมคณะกรรมการจริยธรรมการวิจัยในมนุษย์

Survey and Audit of the REC

BGH REC 19/v02.0

เริ่มใช้ 1 ตุลาคม 2566

หน้า 37 ของ 45 หน้า

SECTION	ITEM	A	В	C	D	COMMENTS
	the research participants and concerned communities? (WHO 6.2.1.2)					
C2.7	Does the EC review the adequacy of provisions made for monitoring and auditing the conduct of the research, including the constitution of a data safety and monitoring board (DSMB)? (WHO 6.2.1.6)					
C2.8	Does the EC review the manner in which the results of the research will be reported and published? (WHO 6.2.1.8)					
C2.9	Does the EC review whether the risk posed to research subjects is reasonable in relation to its anticipated benefits? (WHO 6.2.1.2)					
C2.10	Does the EC follow the established procedure for determining if potential risks posed to the vulnerable population are acceptable? (ICH 3.1.6)					
C2.11	Does the EC review the description of the informed consent process and the identification of those responsible for obtaining it? (WHO 6.2.5.1)					
C2.12	Does the EC review the informed consent focusing on measures to improve participant understanding and voluntary decision making? (WHO 6.2.5.2)					
C2.13	Does the EC review justification to include research individual that cannot consent and account of the arrangements for obtaining consent? (ICH 3.1.6, WHO 6.2.5.3)					
C2.14	Does the EC have and follow the established procedure to determine if the vulnerable subjects are protected in the consent process? (ICH 3.1.5)					



Banphaeo General Hospital Research Ethic Committee

. การตรวจเยี่ยมคณะกรรมการจริยธรรมการวิจัยในมนุษย์

Survey and Audit of the REC

BGH REC 19/v02.0

เริ่มใช้ 1 ตุลาคม 2566

หน้า 38 ของ 45 หน้า

SECTION	ITEM	A	В	C	D	COMMENTS
C2.15	Does the EC have and follow the established procedure in reviewing the consent process in emergency situation in research protocol? (ICH 3.1.2)					
C2.16	Does the EC review the information assuring research participants that they will receive available information during the course of the research relevant to their participation? (WHO 6.2.5.4)					
C2.17	Does the EC review the provisions made by researchers for receiving and responding to queries and complaints from participants or representatives during the course of the research? (WHO 6.2.5.5)					
C2.18	Does the EC review the suitability of the investigators qualifications and experience for the proposed study? (ICH 3.1.3, WHO 6.2.3.1)					
C2.19	Does the EC review any plans to withdraw or withhold standard therapies for the purpose of the research and the justification for such action? (WHO 6.2.3.2)					
C2.20	Does the EC review the steps to be taken if research participants voluntarily withdraw during the course of the research? (WHO 6.2.3.5)					
C2.21	Does EC have and follow an established procedure in evaluating the protection of privacy and confidentiality of the research participants during and after the completion of the research? (WHO 6.4)					
C2.22	Does the EC have and follow established procedure to determine if the vulnerable subjects are properly protected? (ICH 3.1.6)					



Banphaeo General Hospital Research Ethic Committee

การตรวจเยี่ยมคณะกรรมการจริยธรรมการวิจัยในมนุษย์

Survey and Audit of the REC

9 2 4 0566

BGH REC 19/v02.0

เริ่มใช้ 1 ตุลาคม 2566 หน้า 39 ของ 45 หน้า

SECTION	ITEM	A	В	C	D	COMMENTS
C2.23	Does EC have and follow procedures of determining whether the method used to recruit the research subjects is acceptable or not? (WHO 6.2.2)					
C2.24	Does the EC review the description of the plan to make the study product available to research participants following the research if applicable? (WHO 6.2.3.8)					
C2.25	Does the EC have and follow established procedure for evaluating the inclusion and exclusion criteria? (WHO 6.2.2.4, 6.2.2.5)					
C2.26	Does the EC have and follow established procedure for evaluating the characteristics of the population from which participants are drawn? (WHO 6.2.2.1)					
C2.27	Does EC have methods of ensuring that additional safe guards are included to protect the rights and welfare in research involving vulnerable populations? (ICH 3.1.6, 3.1.7)					
C2.28	Does the EC review payment for research participants to determine if it will unduly influence them to participate in research? (ICH 3.1.8, WHO 6.3.2.10)					
C2.29	Does the EC review compensation for research participants to determine if it they adequately compensated for injury? (ICH 3.1.9, WHO 6.3.2.11)					
C2.30	Does EC review the standard of care and other post trial benefits offered to participants? (WHO 6.3.2.3)					
C2.31	Does the EC review the impact and relevance of research on the local community from which the research participants are drawn? (WHO 6.3.6.1)					



Banphaeo General Hospital Research Ethic Committee

การตรวจเยี่ยมคณะกรรมการจริยธรรมการวิจัยในมนุษย์

Survey and Audit of the REC

BGH REC 19/v02.0

เริ่มใช้ 1 ตุลาคม 2566

หน้า 40 ของ 45 หน้า

SECTION	ITEM	A	В	C	D	COMMENTS
C2.32	Does the EC review the steps taken to					
	consult with the concerned communities					
	during the course of the designing of the					
	research?					
	(WHO 6.3.6.2)					
C2.33	Does the EC review the influence of the					
	community on the consent of individuals?	Ш	Ш	Ш		
C2.34	(WHO 6.3.6.3)					
C2.34	Does the EC review proposed community consultation during the course of the					
	research?					
	(WHO 6.3.6.4)					
	(1110 0.5.0.7)					
C2.35	Does the EC review the extent to which					
	research contributes to capacity building				$ \Box$	
	within the community?					
	(WHO 6.3.6.5)					
C2.36	Does the EC review a description of the					
	availability and affordability of any			_		
	successful study product to the concerned		Ш	Ш	Ш	
	communities following the research?					
C2.37	(WHO 6.3.6.6) Does the EC review the rights to give					
C2.37	subjects additional information when the					
	additional information would add		_	_		
	meaningfully to the protection of the rights,			Ш		
	safety and/or well-being of the subjects?					
	(WHO 6.2.5.4)					
С3	AFTER PROTOCOL APPROVAL					
	(EC to document and follow procedures of re	views	of ar	nendn	nents,	continuing, SAE reports)
C3.1	Does the EC have continuing review?					
	(ICH 3.1.4, 3.3.3, WHO 9)					
C3.2	Does the EC have and follow an established					
	procedure for determining the frequency of			ΙП	П	
	continuing review?					
C3.3	(ICH 3.1.4, WHO 9.2) Does the EC have and follow an established					
C3.3	procedure for handling modification					
	(amendments) of research protocol?					
	(ICH 3.2.7, WHO 9.3)					
C3.4	Does the EC have documents required for					
	continuous review and is this list made					
	available to investigators?					



Banphaeo General Hospital Research Ethic Committee

การตรวจเยี่ยมคณะกรรมการจริยธรรมการวิจัยในมนุษย์

Survey and Audit of the REC

เริ่มใช้ 1 ตุลาคม 2566

หน้า 41 ของ 45 หน้า

SECTION	ITEM	A	В	C	D	COMMENTS
C3.5	Does the EC consider the submitted relevant information and documents in its continuing review? (WHO 9.3)					
C3.6	Does the EC have and follow an established procedure to notify investigators when it will conduct a continuing review? (ICH 3.1.4, WHO 9.4)					
C3.7	Does ERC have and follows policies and procedures for suspending or terminating previously approved research if need be based on findings in monitoring or continuing review? (WHO 9.4)					
C3.8	Does the EC require the investigator to notify the EC in writing of the reasons and a summary of the research results when applicant prematurely suspend or terminate the study? (WHO 9.5)					
C3.9	Does EC do a follow up review when serious and unexpected adverse events occur as a result of the conduct of the study or study (test) product and necessary steps need to be instituted to protect participants? (WHO 9.3b)					
C3.10	Does the EC specify that no deviations from, or changes of, the protocol should be initiated without prior written IRB/IEC approval/favorable opinion of an appropriate amendment? (ICH 3.3.7)					
C3.11	Does the EC specify that the investigator should promptly report to the IRB/IEC any deviations from, or changes of, the protocol to eliminate immediate hazards to the trial subjects? (ICH 3.3.8, WHO 9.3c)					
C3.12	Does the EC specify that the investigator should promptly report to the IRB/IEC	П		П	П	



Banphaeo General Hospital Research Ethic Committee

การตรวจเยี่ยมคณะกรรมการจริยธรรมการวิจัยในมนุษย์

Survey and Audit of the REC

เริ่มใช้ 1 ตุลาคม 2566

หน้า 42 ของ 45 หน้า

SECTION	ITEM	A	В	C	D	COMMENTS
	changes increasing the risk to subjects					
	and/or affecting significantly the conduct of					
	the trial?					
G2 12	(ICH 3.3.8, WHO 9.3c)					
C3.13	Does the EC specify that the investigator					
	should promptly report to the IRB/IEC all adverse drug reactions (ADRs) that are		ш		Ш	
	both serious and unexpected?					
	(ICH 3.3.8)					
C3.14	Does the EC specify that the investigator					
	should promptly report to the IRB/IEC any					
	new information that may affect adversely	П	П	П		
	the safety of the subjects or the conduct of					
	the trial?					
C3.15	Does the EC require the applicant to notify		-			
C3.13	the EC the time of completion of a study?		П	П		
	(WHO 9.6)					
C3.16	Does the EC require the applicant to submit					
	in writing at the completion of the study a					
	final report describing how the study was	_	_			
	conducted and a summary of the study			Ш		
	results?					
	(WHO 9.7)					
C.4	COMPLETENESS OF IEC/IRB MEETIN	G M	NUT	ES	l	
C4	(minutes should be a complete record and re-	flect a	ctions	s takeı	n duri	ng the meeting)
C4.1	Does the EC record and keep minutes of its					
	meeting?					
	(ICH 3.2.2, WHO 6.1.3)					
C4.2	Does the EC record in its minute members					
	present for each meeting, members voted and all the actions that took place during					
	the meeting?		П			
	(ICH 3.1.2)					
	(1011 01112)					
C4.3	Does the minutes record protocols and					
	documents reviewed, the dates of approval,					
	modifications required prior to its approval					
	or disapproval and termination/suspension of any prior approval?					
	(ICH 3.1.2)					
C4.4	Does the EC have an approval procedure		<u> </u>			
	for its minutes?					
	(WHO 6.1.3)					
C5	DECISION MAKING PROCESS					



Banphaeo General Hospital Research Ethic Committee

การตรวจเยี่ยมคณะกรรมการจริยธรรมการวิจัยในมนุษย์

Survey and Audit of the REC

BGH REC 19/v02.0

เริ่มใช้ 1 ตุลาคม 2566

หน้า 43 ของ 45 หน้า

SECTION	ITEM	A	В	C	D	COMMENTS
	(EC should have a procedure for decision ma	king a	nd m	ember	s sho	uld participate in the
	process)	1	ı	1	ı	
C5.1	Are decisions only made in meetings where	_				
	a quorum is present?					
C5.2	(ICH 3.2.3, WHO 7.3) Does EC ensure that only members who					
C3.2	participate in the review should participate	$ \Box $	$ \Box$			
	in the decision?					
	(ICH 3.2.4, WHO 7.5)					
C5.3	Are all relevant documents required for full					
	review available and considered before a					
	decision is made?					
	(WHO 7.4)					
C5.4	Does the EC have a predefined method of		_			
	arriving at a decision e.g. by consensus or vote?	Ш	Ш	Ш	Ш	
	(WHO 7.6)					
C5.5	Does the EC ensure that members with					
63.3	conflicts of interest are not part of the		П			
	decision?					
	(WHO 7.1)					
C5.6	Do the EC members have sufficient time to					
	review and discuss before a decision is					
	made?					
C5.7	(WHO 7.2) When a decision is made to re-review a					
C5.7	protocol, does the EC clearly document the					
	areas needed to be revised?					
	(WHO 7.8)					
C5.8	Are negative decisions supported with					
	clearly stated reasons?					
	(WHO 7.9)					
D	AFTER REVIEW PROCESS					
Ь	(EC should adequately and effectively comm	nunica	tes its	decis	sion to	o investigators)
D1	COMMUNICATING A DECISION (EC ha	ave ar	effec	ctive a	nd tin	nely way of communicating
	a decision with clearly stated reasons)	ı	ı	ı	T	
D1.1	Are the conclusions of a decision					
	communicated in writing to the applicant	Ш	Ш	Ш	Ш	
	within 14 days?					
D1.2	(WHO 8) Does the EC clearly specify areas that need					
D1.2	to be revised when communicating a					
	provisional approval decision to					
	investigators?					
	(ICH 3.3.9, WHO 7.4)					
D1.3	Does the decision letter include the exact					
	title of the protocol reviewed?					



Banphaeo General Hospital Research Ethic Committee

การตรวจเยี่ยมคณะกรรมการจริยธรรมการวิจัยในมนุษย์

Survey and Audit of the REC

BGH REC 19/v02.0

เริ่มใช้ 1 ตุลาคม 2566

หน้า 44 ของ 45 หน้า

SECTION	ITEM	A	В	C	D	COMMENTS
	(WHO 8.1)		•			
D1.4	Does the decision letter include the specific identification number of the documents reviewed including the informed consent form?					
	(WHO 8.2)					
D1.5	Does the decision letter include the name and title of the applicant(s)? (WHO 8.4)					
D1.6	Does the decision letter include the date and place of the decision? (WHO 8.6)					
D1.7	Does the decision letter include the name of the EC taking the decision? (WHO 8.7)					
D1.8	Does the decision letter include a statement of the responsibilities of the applicant? (ICH 3.3.6, 3.3.7, WHO 8.11)					
D1.9	Does the decision letter include the signature of the chairperson (or other authorized person) and date? (WHO 8.14)					
D1.10	Does the EC inform investigators of its re- review procedure, schedule/plan of ongoing review? (WHO 8.12)					
D1.11	Does the EC issue suspension or termination letters with reasons for suspension or termination (or the conditions of lifting suspension or termination) clearly stated? (ICH 3.3.9, WHO 9.5)					
D1.12	Does the decision documentation clearly explain how the applicant can communicate with the EC? (WHO 8.11)					
E	DOCUMENTATION AND ARCHIVING					
Ŀ	(EC systematically document and archive its	activi	ties fo	or a go	od tir	ne period)
E1.1	Does the EC have and follow operating procedures for record keeping and archiving of all records and communication documents? (ICH 3.4, WHO 10)					
E1.2	Does EC have and follow operation procedure for the access or retrieve of various documents files or archives?					



Banphaeo General Hospital Research Ethic Committee

การตรวจเยี่ยมคณะกรรมการจริยธรรมการวิจัยในมนุษย์

Survey and Audit of the REC

BGH REC 19/v02.0

เริ่มใช้ 1 ตุลาคม 2566 หน้า 45 ของ 45 หน้า

SECTION	ITEM	A	В	C	D	COMMENTS
	(ICH 3.4, WHO 10)					
E1.3	Does the filing, archiving, accessing and retrieving of the documents meet the established procedures? (ICH 3.4, WHO 10)					
E1.4	Does the EC maintain a complete file or database of all the relevant materials in each research protocol? (WHO 10.7)					
E1.5	Does the EC follow the requirement to retain all the records for at least 3 years after the completion of investigation? (ICH 3.4, WHO 10)					
E1.6	Could all the relevant records be inspected by the appropriate authority? (ICH 3.4, WHO 10)					
E1.7	Does the EC document its SOPs and terms of reference? (WHO 10.1)					
E1.8	Does the EC document the CV of all its members? (WHO 10.2)					
E1.9	Does the EC document its published guideline for submission of protocols? (WHO 10.4)					
E1.10	Does the EC document the agenda and minutes of its meetings? (WHO 10.5, 10.6)					
E1.11	Does the EC document copies of its decision and any advice or requirements sent to the applicants? (WHO 10.9)					
E1.12	Does the EC document all the written documentations received during the follow-up? (WHO 10.10)					
E1.13	Does the EC document the notification of completion, premature suspension or termination of study? (WHO 10.11)					
E1.14	Does the EC document the final report of the study? (WHO 10.12)					